

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

P.S.-Fisheries Dept.-Fraudulent claim of Sales Tax subsidy and Central Excise Duty(CED) on HSD oil by the owners of Motorized and mechanized boats with the fake bills –Disciplinary action against Sri B.Sudershanam, Deputy Director of Fisheries, Machilipatnam-Action dropped-Orders-Issued.

Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development & Fisheries (VS) Department

G.O.Rt.No. 640

Dated:31-12-2008

Read the following:-

- 1) From the Director General.,(V&E) Dept. Report No.110, dates:19.7.2005
- 2) G.O.Rt.No.331,AH dated: 3.7.2006
- 3) Explanation of Sri B.Sudershanam, Dy.Director dated:19.7.2006
- 4) Govt.Memo.No.3572/VS/2005-7 dated:28.10.2006
- 5) From Smt.Chandana Khan, IAS, Member, Commissioner of Inquiries
D.O.Lr.No.434/COI.CK/2006 dated:4.1.2008
- 6) Govt.Memo.No.3572/VS/2005 dated:25.7.2008
- 7) From Sri B.Sudershanam, Deputy Director of Fisheries explanation dated: Nil

ORDER:

In the reference first read above, the General Administration(V&E) Department on information about fraudulent claiming of Sales Tax subsidy and Central Excise Duty on High Speed Diesel by the owners of the Motorized and Machanised boats in Machilipatnam has conducted enquiry and in its recommendations, recommended for major penalty against Sri B.Sudershanam, Deputy .Director of Fisheries. Accordingly, in the reference second read above, articles of charges were framed against Sri B.Sudershanam, Deputy .Director of Fisheries to submit his explanation. The Government after due examination of the explanation submitted by individual officer, has entrusted the matter to the Member, Commissioner of Inquiries to conduct Inquiry into the charges framed against him. The Inquiry officer has conducted the Inquiry and concluded that the charges are proved against Sri B.Sudershanma, Deputy Director of Fisheries to the extent of lack supervision and negligence attitude to the work and that there has been no ulterior motive for misappropriation.

In the reference sixth read above, the Government have issued show cause notice duly enclosing a copy of the Inquiry Report to submit his representation if any before taking further course of action on the Inquiry Report of the Inquiry Officer. In the reference seventh read above, Sri B.Sudershanam in his explanation submitted that he had no intention of misappropriation and if he had any, he would have taken enough caution to submit the proposals as per the norms. Further, he has not disbursed amount when it has come to his notice that the Vigilance &Enforcement department was enquiring into the issue. The individual officer has also represented that due to heavy work load besides training programmes and the other entrusted by the District Collector, he just forwarded the proposal since same had passed through different stages. The individual officer has also represented that his case was not considered for promotion in the D.P.C. and his juniors were promoted because of the pendency of the enquiry against him and requested to drop further action against him since there is no pecuniary loss to the Govt.

::2::

The Govt. after careful examination of the case in detail, hereby drop further action against Sri B.Sudershanam, Deputy Director of Fisheries keeping in view the findings of the Inquiry Officer as there is no financial loss to the Government.

The Commissioner of Fisheries shall take necessary action in the matter.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

PRIYADARSHI DASH
SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVT.

To

Sri B.Sudershanam, Deputy Director of Fisheries through
Commissioner of Fisheries, A.P. Hyderabad.

The Commissioner of Fisheries., A.P.Hyderabad.

Copy to

The Director General, General Administration (V&E) dept.

The A.P. Vigilance Commission., A.P. Hyderabad.

SF/SCs.

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER